Agenda Item Staff Report

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
For the Meeting of November 9, 2021

From: Chris Constantin, City Manager
Jeff Malawy, City Attorney

Subject: Public Hearing Regarding Draft District Maps and Election Sequencing for a District Based Election System; Selection of District Map and Election Sequence; Introduction of Ordinance No. 1292 Establishing a District Based System of Elections for Members of the City Council

---

SUMMARY

38 district maps and 3 potential communities of interest have been submitted prior to the deadline and posted on the City's sandimasdistricts.org website. To view the maps and to see the proposed sequencing of elections, please see the "Draft Maps" tab on the sandimasdistricts.org website. The City Council should conduct a public hearing to receive input from the community on those maps. The City Council may consider and revise any draft map, and may select a map or revised map and election sequence tonight for introduction with Ordinance No. 1292 to establish a district-based system of elections for members of the City Council.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the City Council:

1) Receive a presentation from the City's demographic consultant, National Demographics Corporation, regarding the draft district maps and election sequencing;
2) Conduct a public hearing to receive public input on the draft maps and election sequencing;
3) Provide any comment or revisions to the draft maps;
4) Select a district map and decide which two districts will have elections in 2022 and which two in 2024, and incorporate those selections into Ordinance No. 1292;
5) Introduce, through first reading by title only with further reading waived, Ordinance No. 1292 establishing a district-based system of elections for members of the City Council.

BACKGROUND

The members of the San Dimas City Council are currently elected at-large. In an at-large election

system, every voter in the City votes for each member of the City Council, regardless of where the voter or the candidate resides.

On August 25, 2020, the City Council approved a settlement agreement with attorney Kevin I. Shenkman, who had alleged the City's at-large system of electing City Councilmembers violates the California Voting Rights Act because it allegedly abridges the rights of Latino voters. Under the settlement agreement, Mr. Shenkman waives all of his claims against the City, provided the City adopts an ordinance transitioning to district elections in time for the next City Council election.

Under a district-based system of elections, the City would be divided into geographic districts, and City Council candidates may only run for the seat representing the district in which they reside, and voters may only vote for the candidates from their district. The City currently has a directly elected Mayor, elected at-large. The Mayor can continue to be elected at-large even under a district-based election system for the other city councilmembers.

If the City Council chooses to transition to district elections, it would need to select a map and introduce the ordinance by November 17, 2021 at the latest and adopt that map and ordinance by November 23, 2021 at the latest,[1] in order to hold district elections in the June 2022 City Council election. The settlement allows the City to choose its own district boundaries, rather than risk a court doing so, and avoids the potential of paying millions of dollars in attorney fees to Mr. Shenkman (as other cities have been ordered to pay).

DISCUSSION

A draft map must be publicly available and posted on the City's website (sandimasdistricts.org) for at least seven days before it can be considered by City Council at a public hearing. If a change is made to a map at the public hearing, the revised map must be posted at least seven days before it is adopted.

For consideration at tonight's hearing, 38 maps and 3 potential communities of interest have been submitted and posted on the City's sandimasdistricts.org website for the required seven days.[2] National Demographics Corporation has posted and arranged the maps and reviewed for contiguity and/or population balance issues. These are noted under the "Draft Maps" tab. Further, the page shows a table of a proposed election sequence for each map. Each DistrictR draft map also includes the demographic data by proposed district under the "evaluation" tab.

Staff recommends the City Council hold the public hearing and then discuss the draft maps. The City Council may revise any of the maps tonight, if desired. The Council may then select a map or revised map for incorporation into Ordinance No. 1292. City staff will provide maps in the lobby for those who want to see paper maps leading up to the public hearing.

---

[1] The actual deadline set by LA County is December 9, 2021. However, an ordinance must be adopted at a regular meeting. The last regular San Dimas City Council meeting prior to December 9 is November 23, 2021. The ordinance must be introduced by November 17 because an ordinance cannot be adopted within five days of its introduction.

[2] A public hearing notice for tonight's hearing was published in a newspaper of general circulation on Oct. 21, 2021.

Sequence of Elections

Along with selecting a map, the City Council will also need to decide the sequence of elections. The Council will need to choose which two districts will be up for election in June 2022, 2026, 2030, etc, and which two districts will be up for election in 2024, 2028, 2032, etc. The proposed sequences on the sandimasdistricts.org website are only a proposed suggested sequence for each map, which the City is required to post by law. The City Council can choose any sequence it wishes, whether proposed or not.

Councilmembers Bertone and Vienna's current terms expire in June 2022. The two districts Council selects to be up for election in June 2022 will replace Councilmember Bertone and Vienna's seats after the June 2022 election. Councilmember Vienna and Bertone may run in June 2022 if they reside in one of those districts.

Councilmembers Ebiner and Weber's current terms expire in March 2024. The two districts Council selects to be up for election in March 2024 will replace Councilmember Ebiner and Weber's seats after the 2024 election. Councilmember Ebiner and Weber may run in 2024 if they reside in one of those districts.

If Councilmember Ebiner or Weber reside in a district up for election in June 2022, they may run in that election. If they are not elected, they remain in their current seat to continue their current term that expires in March 2024. If either is elected in the June 2022 election, their current seat on Council will become vacant and the Council may appoint someone or call a special election to fill the vacancy until that seat's term expires in March 2024.

CONCLUSION

After selecting a map and sequence of elections, the City Council may move to incorporate those selections into Ordinance 1292 and introduce that ordinance tonight. Staff will bring the ordinance back for adoption on November 23, 2021. Staff will then submit the ordinance and map to the County by the December 9, 2021 deadline.

Respectfully submitted,

Chris Constantin
City Manager

Jeff Malawy
City Attorney

Attachments: 1 – Ordinance 1292
             2 – DistrictR Maps 1-38
             3 – DistrictR – Communities of Interest Maps 1-3

ORDINANCE 1292

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIMAS, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING CHAPTER 2.04 OF THE SAN DIMAS MUNICIPAL CODE TO ESTABLISH A DISTRICT-BASED SYSTEM OF ELECTIONS FOR MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL

WHEREAS, the members of the City Council of the City of San Dimas (City) are currently elected at-large, whereby all members are elected by all of the voters of the City; and

WHEREAS, the City also has an elective Mayor, who is elected every two years to a two-year term, as approved by the voters of the City in November 1979; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Government Code sections 34886 and 34871, the City has the authority to adopt an ordinance requiring members of the City Council to be elected by district in four districts with an elective mayor; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Elections Code section 10010, the City Council held public hearings on August 10, 2021 and August 24, 2021 to receive public input regarding the composition of district maps, and also held public hearings on October 12, 2021, October 26, 2021, and November 9, 2021 regarding the content of proposed district maps and sequences of elections; and

WHEREAS, also pursuant to Elections Code section 10010, the City Council held a public hearing on November 23, 2021, prior to adoption of this Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, in order to further the purposes of the California Voting Rights Act of 2001, the City Council now wishes to adopt an ordinance providing that the members of the City Council shall be elected by-district in four districts with an elective mayor beginning at the June 7, 2022 general municipal election; and

WHEREAS, the City Council electoral districts to be established are described further in this Ordinance and shown on the district map attached hereto as Exhibit "A" to this Ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIMAS, CALIFORNIA, DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Findings. The City Council finds as follows:

A. The above recitals are true and correct and are incorporated herein by this reference.

B. The City Council hereby declares the intent and purpose of this Ordinance adopting a district-based system of elections for members of the City Council is to further the purposes of the California Voting Rights Act of 2001 (Chapter 1.5 (commencing with Section 14025) of Division 14 of the Elections Code).

SECTION 2. By-District Electoral System. Section 2.04.040 is added to Chapter 2.04 of the San Dimas Municipal Code to read in its entirety as follows:

2.04.040 By-District Electoral System.

A. Beginning with the June 7, 2022 general municipal election, and thereafter, members of the City Council of the City of San Dimas shall be elected by-district in four single-member districts with an elective mayor.

B. Each member of the City Council elected or appointed to represent a district must reside in that district and be a registered voter in that district. Each candidate for City Council must be a registered voter in the City of San Dimas and reside in the district in which that candidate is seeking election at the time nomination papers are issued to that candidate, pursuant to Elections Code 34882. Termination of residency in a district by a seated Councilmember shall create a vacancy for that City Council district unless a substitute residence within the district is established within thirty (30) days after that termination of residency.

C. Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, the members of the City Council in office at the time this section takes effect may continue in office until the expiration of the full term to which they were elected and until their successor is qualified.

SECTION 3. Establishment of City Council Electoral Districts. Section 2.04.050 is added to Chapter 2.04 of the San Dimas Municipal Code to read in its entirety as follows:

2.04.050 Establishment of City Council Electoral Districts.

A. Subject to the provisions of this chapter, members of the City Council shall be elected by-district from City Council districts shown and numbered on the map titled 'City of San Dimas District Map', a copy of which shall be on file in the City Clerk's office, and which is attached as Exhibit A to this ordinance.

B. The City Council electoral districts described in this section shall be adjusted from time to time as required by Elections Code section 21600 et seq. or by other law.

SECTION 4. Election Sequence for Implementation of By-District Electoral System. Section 2.04.060 is added to Chapter 2.04 of the San Dimas Municipal Code to read in its entirety as follows:

2.04.060 Election Sequence for Implementation of By-District Electoral System.

A. Members of the City Council shall be elected in City Council Districts ___ and ___ at the 2022 general municipal election and every four years thereafter. Members of the City Council shall be elected in City Council Districts ___ and ___ at the 2024 general municipal election and every four years thereafter.

B. Elections for the office of mayor shall not be impacted by the provisions of this chapter.

SECTION 5. Severability. If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, or portion of this Ordinance is, for any reason, held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining

portions of this Ordinance. The City Council hereby declares that it would have adopted this Ordinance and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, or portion thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase, or portion thereof be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

SECTION 6. Effective Date and Publication. This ordinance shall take effect 30 days after its adoption. The City Clerk, or her duly appointed deputy, shall attest to the adoption of this Ordinance and shall cause this Ordinance to be posted in the manner required by law.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of San Dimas this 23rd day of November, 2021, by the following vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN:

_____
Emmett G. Badar, Mayor
City of San Dimas

ATTEST: **APPROVED AS TO FORM:**

_____   _____
Debra Black, City Clerk   Jeff Malawy, City Attorney

Exhibit A: City of San Dimas District Map

I, DEBRA BLACK, CITY CLERK of the City of San Dimas, do hereby certify that Ordinance 1292 was introduced at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of San Dimas on the 9th, day of November, 2021, and thereafter passed, approved and adopted at a regular meeting of said City Council held on the 23rd, day of November, 2021.

EXHIBIT A

CITY OF SAN DIMAS DISTRICT MAP

(Immediately behind this page)

DRAFT

San Dimas Districting 2021
Map Submissions by plan

Plan 101 Map (DistrictR ID 70311)

Plan 101 Demographics (DistrictR ID 70311)

Population by Race

COMPARE	White Alone population
WITH	Hispanic population
AND	Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	40.4%	30.5%	23.3%
2	41.1%	38%	13.8%
3	37.1%	44.3%	12%
4	44.9%	35.6%	12.2%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE	White Alone population
WITH	Hispanic population
AND	Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	42.8%	28.5%	22.9%
2	44%	35%	13.8%
3	40.6%	41.3%	11.7%
4	49%	31.7%	12.2%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 103 Map (DistrictR ID 72844)

Plan 103 Demographics (DistrictR ID 72844)

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	40.4%	30.5%	23.3%
2	45.1%	35.7%	12.4%
3	35.6%	43.9%	11.8%
4	42.2%	38.6%	13.7%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population
WITH Hispanic population
AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	42.8%	28.5%	22.9%
2	48.9%	32.2%	12.3%
3	38.7%	40.5%	12.1%
4	45.5%	35.7%	13.2%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 104 Map (DistrictR ID 68924)

Plan 104 Demographics (DistrictR ID 68924)

	Save	☰

Population	Data Layers	Evaluation

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.3%	29.9%	22.8%
2	40.4%	39.6%	14.6%
3	46.1%	35.3%	12.2%
4	35.5%	43.6%	11.9%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	43.6%	27.9%	22.5%
2	43.6%	36.8%	14.3%
3	49.8%	31.6%	12.3%
4	39%	40.2%	11.8%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 102 Map (DistrictR ID 70409)

Plan 102 Demographics (DistrictR ID 70409)

Population | Data Layers | **Evaluation**

▾ Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population ⌄

WITH | Hispanic population ⌄

AND | Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.6%	30%	22.4%
2	40.9%	39%	14.6%
3	41.5%	40.2%	11%
4	39.2%	39.5%	13.2%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▾ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population ⌄

WITH | Hispanic population ⌄

AND | Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	43.9%	27.9%	22.1%
2	44.3%	36.1%	14.3%
3	45.5%	36.4%	10.9%
4	42.4%	36.2%	13.4%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 105 Map (DistrictR ID 71564)

Plan 105 Demographics (DistrictR ID 71564)

Save ≡

| Population | Data Layers | Evaluation |

▾ Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄

WITH Hispanic population ⌄

AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	45.4%	35.4%	12.5%
2	35.3%	44.2%	11.6%
3	41%	39%	14.6%
4	41.6%	30%	22.4%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▾ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄

WITH Hispanic population ⌄

AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	49.2%	31.6%	12.7%
2	38.4%	41.2%	11.5%
3	44.4%	36.1%	14.3%
4	43.9%	27.9%	22.1%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 106 Map (DistrictR ID 72247)

Plan 106 Demographics (DistrictR ID 72247)

| | Save | ☰ |

| Population | Data Layers | Evaluation |

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄

WITH Hispanic population ⌄

AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	37.6%	44.2%	11%
2	40%	39.3%	14.3%
3	41.1%	29.3%	23.6%
4	44.6%	35.4%	12.7%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄

WITH Hispanic population ⌄

AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.1%	40.8%	11.1%
2	43.3%	36.2%	13.9%
3	43.4%	27.5%	23.2%
4	48.3%	31.9%	12.7%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 107 Map (DistrictR ID 72314)

Plan 107 Demographics (DistrictR ID 72314)

	Save	≡

Population	Data Layers	Evaluation

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.3%	29.9%	22.8%
2	40.1%	39.7%	14.5%
3	45.3%	36.1%	12.3%
4	36.5%	42.7%	12%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	43.6%	27.9%	22.5%
2	43.4%	36.7%	14.3%
3	49%	32.4%	12.3%
4	40%	39.4%	12%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 108 Map (DistrictR ID 72317)

Plan 108 Demographics (DistrictR ID 72317)

Population | Data Layers | Evaluation

▾ Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ▾

WITH Hispanic population ▾

AND Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.3%	29.9%	22.8%
2	40.1%	39.7%	14.5%
3	45.3%	36.1%	12.3%
4	36.5%	42.7%	12%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▾ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ▾

WITH Hispanic population ▾

AND Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	43.6%	27.9%	22.5%
2	43.4%	36.7%	14.3%
3	49%	32.4%	12.3%
4	40%	39.4%	12%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 109 Map (DistrictR ID 72622)

Plan 109 Demographics (DistrictR ID 72622)

Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population

WITH | Hispanic population

AND | Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	40.8%	39.2%	14.6%
2	38.4%	42.5%	11%
3	42.8%	36.8%	13.1%
4	41.4%	29.8%	22.8%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population

WITH | Hispanic population

AND | Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	44%	36.5%	14.3%
2	42.6%	38.4%	11.1%
3	46%	33.6%	13.1%
4	43.6%	27.9%	22.5%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 110 Map (DistrictR ID 72708)

Plan 110 Demographics (DistrictR ID 72708)

Save ≡

| Population | Data Layers | Evaluation |

▾ Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄

WITH Hispanic population ⌄

AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	37.7%	43.4%	10.4%
2	40%	39.3%	14.3%
3	41.1%	29.3%	23.6%
4	44.5%	36.3%	13.3%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▾ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄

WITH Hispanic population ⌄

AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.3%	40%	10.4%
2	43.3%	36.2%	13.9%
3	43.4%	27.5%	23.2%
4	48%	32.9%	13.3%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 111 Map (DistrictR ID 72798)

Plan 111 Demographics (DistrictR ID 72798)

Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population
WITH Hispanic population
AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	45.5%	35.5%	12.4%
2	35.4%	44%	11.7%
3	40.8%	38.8%	14.9%
4	41.8%	30.1%	22.3%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population
WITH Hispanic population
AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	49.1%	32%	12.4%
2	38.7%	40.7%	11.7%
3	44.2%	35.9%	14.6%
4	44.2%	28%	21.9%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 112 Map (DistrictR ID 72811)

Plan 112 Demographics (DistrictR ID 72811)

Save ≡

| Population | Data Layers | Evaluation |

▾ Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄

WITH Hispanic population ⌄

AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.6%	30%	22.4%
2	46%	35.1%	12.2%
3	34.2%	44.8%	12%
4	41%	39%	14.6%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▾ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄

WITH Hispanic population ⌄

AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	43.9%	27.9%	22.1%
2	49.8%	31.5%	12.3%
3	37.3%	41.7%	12%
4	44.4%	36.1%	14.2%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 113 Map (DistrictR ID 72829)

Plan 113 Demographics (DistrictR ID 72829)

	Population	Data Layers	Evaluation

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄

WITH Hispanic population ⌄

AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	44.7%	36.4%	12.2%
2	41.6%	30%	22.4%
3	35.9%	43.2%	12%
4	41%	38.9%	14.6%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄

WITH Hispanic population ⌄

AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	48.2%	32.9%	12.3%
2	43.9%	27.9%	22.1%
3	39.4%	39.8%	12%
4	44.3%	36.1%	14.2%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 114 Map (DistrictR ID 73128)

Plan 114 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73128)

	Save	≡

Population	Data Layers	Evaluation

▼ **Population by Race**

COMPARE White Alone population ▾

WITH Hispanic population ▾

AND Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	46.2%	35%	12.2%
2	34.2%	44.6%	12.2%
3	41.3%	38.9%	14.4%
4	41.5%	29.9%	22.6%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ **Voting Age Population by Race**

COMPARE White Alone population ▾

WITH Hispanic population ▾

AND Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	49.9%	31.4%	12.3%
2	37.6%	41.2%	12.1%
3	44.5%	36.1%	14.1%
4	43.8%	28%	22.3%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 115 Map (DistrictR ID 73256)

Plan 115 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73256)

Population | Data Layers | Evaluation

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population
WITH Hispanic population
AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.6%	29.8%	22.6%
2	46%	35.1%	12.2%
3	34.2%	44.7%	12.2%
4	41.3%	38.9%	14.4%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population
WITH Hispanic population
AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	43.8%	27.8%	22.3%
2	49.8%	31.5%	12.3%
3	37.4%	41.4%	12.1%
4	44.6%	36.1%	14.1%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 116 Map (DistrictR ID 73428)

Plan 116 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73428)

	Save	☰

Population	Data Layers	Evaluation

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.3%	29.9%	22.8%
2	41.2%	39%	14.4%
3	38.9%	40.3%	12.5%
4	41.7%	39.3%	11.9%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	43.6%	27.9%	22.5%
2	44.5%	36.1%	14.1%
3	42.4%	37.3%	12.2%
4	45.3%	35.4%	12.3%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 117 Map (DistrictR ID 73435)

Plan 117 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73435)

| | | | | Save | | ☰ |

| Population | Data Layers | Evaluation |

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	37.6%	43.3%	11.3%
2	39.9%	38.7%	15%
3	41.4%	29.8%	22.8%
4	44.5%	36.3%	12.5%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.2%	39.7%	11.5%
2	43.3%	35.7%	14.6%
3	43.6%	27.9%	22.4%
4	48%	33%	12.5%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 118 Map (DistrictR ID 73439)

Plan 118 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73439)

Population | Data Layers | Evaluation

▾ **Population by Race**

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	38.9%	40.4%	12.4%
2	41.3%	29%	23.8%
3	38.9%	42.2%	12.3%
4	44.2%	36.8%	12.9%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▾ **Voting Age Population by Race**

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	42.2%	37.3%	12.2%
2	43.6%	27.2%	23.4%
3	42.5%	38.8%	12.2%
4	47.7%	33.3%	13%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 119 Map (DistrictR ID 73441)

Plan 119 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73441)

Population by Race

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	45.4%	35.4%	12.5%
2	35.4%	44.1%	11.7%
3	41.6%	30%	22.4%
4	40.8%	39.2%	14.7%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	49%	31.8%	12.6%
2	39%	40.6%	11.6%
3	43.9%	27.9%	22.1%
4	44%	36.5%	14.4%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 120 Map (DistrictR ID 73445)

Plan 120 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73445)

Population | Data Layers | Evaluation

Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population
WITH Hispanic population
AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	39.1%	42.4%	10.7%
2	41.6%	38.5%	14.3%
3	41.4%	29.9%	22.8%
4	41.3%	37.5%	13.8%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population
WITH Hispanic population
AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	42.9%	39%	10.5%
2	45%	35.3%	14.1%
3	43.6%	27.9%	22.5%
4	44.5%	34.2%	13.9%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 121 Map (DistrictR ID 73460)

Plan 121 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73460)

Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population
WITH | Hispanic population
AND | Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	39%	41.4%	11.8%
2	44.4%	37.2%	12.7%
3	41.3%	29.9%	22.8%
4	38.4%	40.1%	14.4%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population
WITH | Hispanic population
AND | Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	42.4%	38.1%	11.9%
2	48.2%	33.6%	12.7%
3	43.6%	27.9%	22.5%
4	41.8%	37.1%	14%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 122 Map (DistrictR ID 73462)

Plan 122 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73462)

	Population	Data Layers	Evaluation

▾ Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population ⌄

WITH | Hispanic population ⌄

AND | Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.3%	29.9%	22.8%
2	46%	34.7%	12.4%
3	35%	44.3%	12%
4	40.9%	39.5%	14.4%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▾ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population ⌄

WITH | Hispanic population ⌄

AND | Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	43.6%	27.9%	22.5%
2	49.8%	31.1%	12.5%
3	38.5%	40.9%	11.9%
4	44%	36.7%	14.2%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 123 Map (DistrictR ID 73828)

Plan 123 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73828)

Population	Data Layers	Evaluation

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population ▾
WITH | Hispanic population ▾
AND | Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	45.4%	35.4%	12.5%
2	35.3%	44.1%	11.6%
3	41%	39%	14.6%
4	41.6%	30%	22.3%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population ▾
WITH | Hispanic population ▾
AND | Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	49.2%	31.6%	12.7%
2	38.4%	41.1%	11.6%
3	44.4%	36.1%	14.3%
4	43.9%	27.9%	22.1%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 124 Map (DistrictR ID 73829)

Plan 124 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73829)

Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population

WITH Hispanic population

AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	46%	35.1%	12.2%
2	41.4%	29.8%	22.8%
3	41.1%	39%	14.4%
4	34.6%	44.4%	12.1%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population

WITH Hispanic population

AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	49.8%	31.5%	12.3%
2	43.6%	27.9%	22.4%
3	44.5%	36.1%	14.1%
4	37.8%	41.2%	12.1%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 125 Map (DistrictR ID 73969)

Plan 125 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73969)

Save

| Population | Data Layers | Evaluation |

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ▾

WITH Hispanic population ▾

AND Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	44.7%	35.4%	12.7%
2	37.6%	44.2%	11%
3	39.7%	38.7%	15.2%
4	41.4%	29.8%	22.8%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ▾

WITH Hispanic population ▾

AND Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	48.3%	32%	12.6%
2	41.1%	40.8%	11.1%
3	43.1%	35.7%	14.8%
4	43.6%	27.9%	22.4%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 126 Map (DistrictR ID 73971)

Plan 126 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73971)

Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population
WITH | Hispanic population
AND | Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.4%	29.8%	22.8%
2	36.3%	43.4%	11.7%
3	46.1%	34.7%	12.5%
4	39.7%	40.2%	14.4%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population
WITH | Hispanic population
AND | Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	43.6%	27.9%	22.4%
2	39.8%	40.1%	11.6%
3	49.8%	31.1%	12.6%
4	42.9%	37.2%	14.2%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 127 Map (DistrictR ID 73979)

Plan 127 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73979)

Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population

WITH Hispanic population

AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	37.6%	44.2%	11%
2	39.7%	38.8%	15.1%
3	41.4%	29.8%	22.8%
4	44.6%	35.4%	12.7%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population

WITH Hispanic population

AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.1%	40.8%	11.1%
2	43.1%	35.8%	14.8%
3	43.6%	27.9%	22.4%
4	48.3%	31.9%	12.7%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 128 Map (DistrictR ID 73990)

Plan 128 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73990)

Save ≡

| Population | Data Layers | Evaluation |

▾ Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population ▾

WITH | Hispanic population ▾

AND | Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	38.8%	42.6%	10.5%
2	41.3%	38.9%	14.4%
3	41.6%	30%	22.4%
4	41.5%	37.2%	13.9%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▾ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population ▾

WITH | Hispanic population ▾

AND | Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	42.9%	38.6%	10.6%
2	44.5%	36.1%	14.1%
3	43.9%	27.9%	22.1%
4	44.7%	34%	13.9%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 129 Map (DistrictR ID 74000)

Plan 129 Demographics (DistrictR ID 74000)

Save ≡

| Population | Data Layers | Evaluation |

▼ **Population by Race**

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄
WITH Hispanic population ⌄
AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	40%	39.9%	12.3%
2	41.6%	29.8%	22.6%
3	41.3%	38.9%	14.4%
4	40.4%	39.8%	12.1%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ **Voting Age Population by Race**

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄
WITH Hispanic population ⌄
AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	43.2%	36.6%	12.6%
2	43.8%	27.8%	22.3%
3	44.5%	36.1%	14.1%
4	44.5%	36%	11.8%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 130 Map (DistrictR ID 73458)

Plan 130 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73458)

Population | Data Layers | Evaluation

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	44.3%	35.7%	12.6%
2	39.2%	32.9%	21%
3	40%	37%	16.1%
4	39.7%	43.1%	11.6%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE [White Alone population ▾]

WITH [Hispanic population ▾]

AND [Asian population ▾]

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	48%	32.2%	12.6%
2	41.4%	30.4%	21.1%
3	43.4%	34.1%	15.8%
4	43.1%	39.8%	11.6%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 131 Map (DistrictR ID 73950)

Plan 131 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73950)

Population   Data Layers   Evaluation

▼ **Population by Race**

COMPARE   White Alone population

WITH   Hispanic population

AND   Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	40%	30.6%	23.4%
2	41.4%	37.8%	13.7%
3	37%	44.4%	12%
4	44.8%	35.6%	12.2%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ **Voting Age Population by Race**

COMPARE   White Alone population

WITH   Hispanic population

AND   Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	42.4%	28.7%	23.1%
2	44.4%	34.7%	13.7%
3	40.4%	41.4%	11.6%
4	49%	31.7%	12.2%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 132 Map (DistrictR ID 73450)

Plan 132 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73450)

| | Save | ☰ |

| Population | Data Layers | Evaluation |

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population ▾
WITH | Hispanic population ▾
AND | Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	45.4%	35.4%	12.3%
2	40.3%	29.8%	23.9%
3	38.9%	42.2%	13.1%
4	38.3%	41.1%	12.3%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population ▾
WITH | Hispanic population ▾
AND | Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	49.2%	31.8%	12.3%
2	42.7%	27.9%	23.5%
3	42.1%	39.4%	12.8%
4	41.8%	37.6%	12.3%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 133 Map (DistrictR ID 70707)

Plan 133 Demographics (DistrictR ID 70707)

	Save	☰

Population	Data Layers	Evaluation

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ▾
WITH Hispanic population ▾
AND Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	39.8%	30.8%	23.3%
2	40.5%	38%	14.7%
3	46.1%	35.4%	12%
4	37.2%	43.8%	11.6%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ▾
WITH Hispanic population ▾
AND Asian population ▾

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	42.1%	29%	22.9%
2	43.8%	35%	14.3%
3	49.9%	31.8%	12.1%
4	40.7%	40.3%	11.7%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 134 Map (DistrictR ID 73436)

Plan 134 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73436)

| | Save | ☰ |

| Population | Data Layers | Evaluation |

▼ **Population by Race**

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄
WITH Hispanic population ⌄
AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.9%	30.2%	21.9%
2	40.7%	39.2%	14.7%
3	34.9%	44.3%	11.8%
4	45.5%	35.4%	12.6%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ **Voting Age Population by Race**

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄
WITH Hispanic population ⌄
AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	44.3%	28%	21.6%
2	43.9%	36.5%	14.4%
3	38.3%	40.9%	11.7%
4	49.1%	31.8%	12.7%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 135 Map (DistrictR ID 73639)

Plan 135 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73639)

| | Save | | ☰ |

| Population | Data Layers | Evaluation |

▾ Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population | ⌄

WITH | Hispanic population | ⌄

AND | Asian population | ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	40.8%	39.2%	14.7%
2	36.2%	43.4%	11.8%
3	45.2%	35.7%	12.4%
4	41.4%	29.8%	22.8%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▾ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE | White Alone population | ⌄

WITH | Hispanic population | ⌄

AND | Asian population | ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	44%	36.5%	14.4%
2	39.6%	39.8%	12%
3	49%	32.2%	12.3%
4	43.7%	27.9%	22.4%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 136 Map (DistrictR ID 73841)

Plan 136 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73841)

| | Save | | ☰ |

| Population | Data Layers | Evaluation |

▼ Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄

WITH Hispanic population ⌄

AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	40.8%	29.5%	23.7%
2	44.3%	36.6%	12.7%
3	39.5%	38.2%	15.1%
4	38.1%	43.2%	11.4%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▼ Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population ⌄

WITH Hispanic population ⌄

AND Asian population ⌄

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	43.1%	27.6%	23.4%
2	47.8%	33.2%	12.7%
3	42.8%	35.4%	14.6%
4	41.7%	39.7%	11.6%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 137 Map (DistrictR ID 73967)

Plan 137 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73967)

	Save	☰

Population	Data Layers	**Evaluation**

▾ **Population by Race**

COMPARE White Alone population ˅

WITH Hispanic population ˅

AND Asian population ˅

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	45.3%	35.9%	12.3%
2	35%	43.9%	12%
3	41.6%	30%	22.4%
4	40.7%	39.2%	14.7%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

▾ **Voting Age Population by Race**

COMPARE White Alone population ˅

WITH Hispanic population ˅

AND Asian population ˅

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	49%	32.3%	12.3%
2	38.5%	40.5%	11.9%
3	43.9%	27.9%	22.1%
4	43.9%	36.5%	14.4%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Plan 138 Map (DistrictR ID 73968)

Plan 138 Demographics (DistrictR ID 73968)

Save

Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population

WITH Hispanic population

AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	41.9%	30.2%	21.9%
2	40.7%	39.2%	14.7%
3	34.6%	44.7%	11.9%
4	46%	34.7%	12.4%
Overall	40.8%	37.1%	15.4%

Voting Age Population by Race

COMPARE White Alone population

WITH Hispanic population

AND Asian population

	White	Hispanic	Asian
1	44.3%	28%	21.6%
2	43.9%	36.5%	14.4%
3	37.8%	41.4%	11.9%
4	49.8%	31.1%	12.5%
Overall	44%	34%	15.3%

Communities of Interest

Community of Interest #1
Puddingstone & Via Verde as One

For the communities of Puddingstone and Via Verde, we urge that we be placed into one district. We share common goals, socio-economic, and geographical territory. There are a couple of maps that split us into other districts. This should not happen! We share nothing in common with those future districts (if you were to adopt) or communities and our boundaries cut off after the Metro tracks on San Dimas Ave. The southern portion of San Dimas is affluent would disadvantage the communities who come from a lower socio-economic level, if we were to be placed in a district with them. Therefore, the Puddingstone and Via Verde communities demand to be placed in one district!

▾ Population

	Puddingstone & Via Verde as One	San Dimas
Total	7,733	35,020
White	40.9%	40.8%
Hispanic	29.5%	37.1%
Asian	23.7%	15.4%
Black	3.4%	3.9%
Other	1.3%	1.1%
Native Am.	0.4%	0.9%
2+	0.7%	0.6%
NH/PI	0.1%	0.1%

▾ Voting Age Population

	Puddingstone & Via Verde as One	San Dimas
Total	6,504	28,298
White	43.1%	44%
Hispanic	27.7%	34%
Asian	23.3%	15.3%
Black	3.3%	3.8%
Other	1.2%	1.1%
NH/PI	0.5%	1%
NH/PI	0.2%	0.2%

Community of Interest #2
Via Verde & Puddingstone Community of Interest

This is an example of how and why the communities of Via Verde and Puddingstone are a COI (Community of Interest). Via Verde and Puddingstone represent the communities of the affluent, we share a contiguous space that must not be separated. The boundaries included are upon the northeast side of San Dimas Ave, the communities that surround Raging Waters, Puddingstone Reservoir, the gated communities on the south on San Dimas bordering Walnut, communities around Vons, to the western portion bordering Covina, and those below the Antonovich Trail and Watershed Conservation Authority territory.

Let it be noted that, unfortunately due to the sour grapes of a certain community member (DE), we do not support the districting of our San Dimas but if there is no other option, then the Council must remember that the aforementioned community of interest must remain together, in one district. Nothing more, nothing short.

▾ Population

	Via Verde & Puddingstone Community of Interest	San Dimas
Total	8,817	35,020
White	41.4%	40.8%
Hispanic	30%	37.1%
Asian	22.6%	15.4%
Black	3.3%	3.9%
Other	1.3%	1.1%
Native Am.	0.5%	0.9%
2+	0.7%	0.6%
NH/PI	0.2%	0.1%

▾ Voting Age Population

	Via Verde & Puddingstone Community of Interest	San Dimas
Total	7,376	28,298
White	43.7%	44%
Hispanic	28%	34%
Asian	22.3%	15.3%
Black	3.3%	3.8%
Other	1.3%	1.1%
NH/PI	0.6%	1%
NH/PI	0.2%	0.2%

Community of Interest #3
Community 1 Via Verde

This San Dimas area is the Southern most area of the city. These hillside areas share the same social economic values, property values are very high. The concerns for fire, trees, parks, freeway access and crime concerns need fair representation.

▼ Population

	Community 1 Via Verde	San Dimas
Total	9,304	35,020
White	42.1%	40.8%
Hispanic	30.1%	37.1%
Asian	21.8%	15.4%
Black	3.3%	3.9%
Other	1.3%	1.1%
Native Am.	0.5%	0.9%
2+	0.7%	0.6%
NH/PI	0.2%	0.1%

▼ Voting Age Population

	Community 1 Via Verde	San Dimas
Total	7,785	28,298
White	44.5%	44%
Hispanic	27.9%	34%
Asian	21.5%	15.3%
Black	3.4%	3.8%
Other	1.3%	1.1%
NH/PI	0.6%	1%
NH/PI	0.2%	0.2%